TABLE 1
INSECT-HOST PLANT INTERACTION IN RELATION TO DEVELOPMENT OF D. indica

	Host Plant -	Larval period (days)	Larval weight (mg)	Pupal period (days)	% Pupation	% Emergence of adults	Growth index	Fecundity	No. of larvae on plant in field
1.	Musk melon	9.40a	50.54abc	6.80a	72.66ab	80.84 ^{ab}	5.85	198.00a	3.98
2.	Long melon	10.60a	32.33 ^{oef}	8.20cde	71.33ab	73.90abcd	5.24	157.80 ^{cde}	3.52
3.	Round melon	15.33f	25.04 ^f	9.10 ^{def}	58.66 ^{cd}	61.41 ^{def}	3.20	79.00ef	1.18
4.	Ridge gourd	11.13bc	27.53 ^f	7.80abc	40.00ef	53.53ef	2.79	129.60de	1.16
5.	Sponge gourd	13.13e	32.03def	10.30g	29.33f	43.01 ^{fg}	1.81	28.40 ^f	0
6.	Pointed gourd	13.86e	24.48 ^f	7.00ab	31.33 ^f	46.21 ^f	1.85	42.30ef	0
7.	Spiny gourd								0
	Chow-chow	15.26 ^f	47.54abcde	9.30ef	35.33 ^f	42.47 ^f g	1.93	68.70e	0.70
9.	Water melon	10.53b	60.62a	7.30abc	76.66a	84.43a	5.66	164.70ab	3.24
10.	Bottle gourd	13.40e	37.63bcdef	10.20fg	28.60 ^f	30.55g	1.74	78.40ef	1.17
11.	Bitter gourd	15.67 ^f	23.18 ^f	10.20 ^{fg}	37.33ef	31.26g	1.99	90.60 ^{ef}	
	Pumpkin	11.33bc	50.14abc	7.70abc	74.00ab	74.69bcd	5.16	133.80abc	3.15
13.	Ash gourd	12.86de	40.18abcdef	9.70 ^f g	55.33cd	70.95abc	3.48	176.00ab	2.12
14.	Snake gourd	12.06 ^{cd}	34.70cdef	8.10 ^{bcd}	54.00 ^{cd}	64.32 ^{cde}	3.58	100.20bcd	
	Squash	11.00b	50.32abc	6.60a	77.33a	82.14ab	5.52	146.40ab	3.23
16.	Ivy gourd	10.13bc	53.26ab	7.70abc	76.00 ^a	77.01abc	5.37	152.40ab	
	Cucumber	12.07cd	45.14abcdef	9.70 ^f g	64.00bc	68.25 ^{cd}	4.24	119.80abc	2.14
18.	Small gourd	11.47 ^{bc}	48.17abcd	9.40 ^f g	47.33 ^{de}	65.82 ^{cde}	3.27	104.00 ^{bcd}	2.19

Figures followed by the same letters are not significantly different from each other by DMRT (P=0.05).

evaluating the host plant preference of *D. indica*. The results are shown in Table 1.

Rate of larval devlopment: Among the 18 cucurbits screened for larval development, the shortest larval period of 9.40 days was recorded on musk melon (Table 1; column 1); it was significantly shorter than the duration required for development on the other hosts. This was followed by long melon, water melon and squash which were on par with each other. The larval periods on sponge gourd (13.13 days), bottle gourd (13.40 days) and pointed gourd (13.86 days) were significantly longer than on other host plants. There was no larval development on spiny gourd.

Larval weight: The weight of larvae reared on the various cucurbits were recorded on the 10th day after hatching; data are shown in Table 1 (column 2). The maximum weight (60.62 mg) was recorded for larvae fed on water melon followed by ivy gourd. The weights of larvae reared on musk melon, squash, small gourd and cucumber did not differ significantly

from each other. The larval weight was low when reared on pointed gourd, round melon and ridge gourd.

Duration of pupal period: The duration of pupal period for larvae reared on the various cucurbits is shown in column 3 of Table 1. The shortest mean pupal period of 6.60 days was recorded with squash followed by musk melon. When reared on ivy gourd, pumpkin and ridge gourd it was longer by a day; these were on par with each other. The pupal period was longer still when reared on small gourd, ash gourd, sponge gourd, bottle gourd and bitter gourd.

Success of pupation: The number of larvae that developed and pupated successfully on the various host plants were recorded and the extent of pupation calculated from this data. The maximum percentage pupation was obtained with squash (77.33%), followed by ivy gourd, pumpkin, musk melon and long melon. These did not differ significantly and were higher compared to the other host plants. The pupation rate was low with bottle gourd, sponge gourd,

pointed gourd, chow-chow and bitter gourd.

Emergence of adults: The percentage emergence of pupae when reared on the various cucurbits was recorded (column 5 of Table 1). Maximum emergence of pupae was recorded for water melon (84.43%) followed by squash and musk melon. Lower emergence were recorded for bottle gourd, bitter gourd, chow-chow, sponge gourd and pointed gourd.

Growth index: To assess the overall capacity of various cucurbits to support growth and development, growth indices were calculated (column 6 of Table 1). The results indicated that musk melon, water melon, squash, ivy gourd, long melon, and pumpkin are better hosts than the other cucurbits. The growth indices of cucumber, snake gourd, small gourd and ash gourd were lower. The growth indices for cucurbits, viz. sponge gourd, chow-chow, Pointed gourd and bottle gourd were very low, indicating that these plants are probably not preferred hosts but in the absence of the preferred hosts *D. indica* can survive on these cucurbits.

Fecundity: The fecundity of females reared from the various plants was determined (column 7 of Table 1).

The study indicated that the maximum number of eggs were laid by moths reared on musk melon (198.0), followed by, ash gourd, water melon long melon and ivy gourd. The lowest number of eggs were from moths reared on sponge gourd, chow chow, bottle gourd, round melon, pointed gourd and bitter gourd.

Effect of host plants on field infestation: 15 cucurbits raised in the field were sampled for natural infestation of *D. indica*. The plants raised in pandals (Bower system), viz. snake gourd, ivy gourd and bitter gourd were not included in the sampling since the sample units between these two types of cultivation were not uniform.

The mean number of full grown larvae per plant are shown in the last column of Table 1. High larval population occurred on musk melon (3.98), followed by long melon, water melon,

squash and pumpkin. Sponge gourd, pointed gourd and spiny gourd did not harbour any larvae at all which indicated that these are not attacked when more preferred hosts were present.

Based on the above observations on host preference of *D. indica* it is possible to classify the 18 cucurbits into three broad categories.

(a) Most preferred hosts: Musk melon, long melon, water melon, pumpkin, squash and ivy gourd.

(b) Moderately preferred hosts: Cucumber, small gourd, snake gourd, ash gourd, round melon and ridge gourd.

(c) Least preferred hosts: Bitter gourd, bottle gourd, chow-chow, pointed gourd and sponge gourd.

Avvar (1923) and Fletcher (1914) reported the occurrence of D. indica as a pest of cucurbitaceous plants. Pandey (1975) refuted this observation on the grounds that in investigations at Bharwari, where seven cucurbits were screened, D. indica did not develop on bitter gourd. The development on the other hosts, viz. musk melon, round melon, pumpkin, watermelon, sponge gourd and ridge gourd was quite normal, and among these plants the percentage of larval pupation was highest on musk melon and lowest on ridge gourd. In the present study D. indica completed its development on bitter gourd, while sponge gourd was the least preferred host. D. indica failed to develop on spiny gourd.

Krishnaprasad and Rai (1978) screened five cucurbits, bitter gourd, bottle gourd, pumpkin, ridge gourd and snake gourd. Ridge gourd was the most preferred host plant followed by snake gourd, pumpkin and bottle gourd. The variation reported in the most preferred host by Pandey (1975) and Krishnaprasad and Rai (1978) is interesting. The former recorded musk melon as the most preferred and ridge gourd as the least preferred, while the latter reported ridge gourd as the most favoured host. In the present study *D. indica* developed on bitter gourd contrary to the report

of Pandey (1975). Similarly, bottle gourd was reported as a favourable host by Krishnaprasad and Rai (1978), while in the present investigation it has been classified under the least preferred. The development reported by these authors on the other cucurbits, viz. ridge gourd, pumpkin and snake gourd are more or less in conformity with the present study.

Considering the extent of variation in the insect host plant interaction of *D. indica* reported in literature, it may be concluded that probably all cucurbits are potential hosts of this insect. The earlier reports by Fletcher (1914) and Ayyar (1923) that most cultivated cucurbits

are susceptible to *D indica* appears to be authentic in the light of the present findings. The rather contradictory results obtained in relation to development may be due to the varieties and regions selected for this experiment. However, since the varietal names of many of the cultivated cucurbits are not given it is difficult to determine whether or not a particular cucurbit is a potential host. We can only assume that all cucurbits are potential hosts of *D. indica* but some cucurbits (like musk melon, long melon, water melon, ivy gourd etc.) are better than others (bottle gourd, bitter gourd etc.).

REFERENCES

- AYYAR, T.V.R. (1923): Handbook of Economic Entomology for South India. Govt. of Madras.
- BA-Angood, S.A.S. (1979): Bionomics of the melon worm Palpita (Diaphania) indica (Saund.) (Pyralidae: Lepidoptera) in PDR Yemen. Zeitschrift fur Angewandte Entomologie 88 (33): 332-336.
- DUPORT, L. (1912): Notes on certain diseases and enemies of cultivated plants in the Far East. Extrait du Bulletin Economique del Indochine, Hanoi Haiphong, Nouvelle Serie, no.99, Nos. 102-105.
- ESAKI, T. (1940): A preliminary report on the entomological survey of the Micronesian Islands under the Japanese Mandate, with special reference to the insects of economic importance. *Proc. 6th Pacif. Sci. Congr.* 1939: 407-415.
- FLETCHER, T.B. (1914): Some South Indian Insects. Govt. of Madras. pp. 435-436.
- HUTSON, J.C. (1924): Ceylon Entomology. Trop. Agricul-

- turist, 12: 288-289.
- Krishnaprasad, N.K. & Rai, P.S. (1978): Effect of different host plants on the duration of life stages of pumpkin leaf caterpillar, *Margaronia indica* Saunders (Pyralidae: Lepidoptera). *Curr. Res.* 7(4): 68.
- Pandey, P.N. (1975): Infestation of Diaphania indica Saund. on cucurbits. Zeitschrift fur Angewandte Entomologie 79(2): 160-163.
- PATEL, R.C. & KULKARNY, H.L. (1956): Bionomics of the pumpkin caterpillar Margaronia indica Saund. (Pyralidae: Lepidoptera). J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 54: 118-127.
- VAYSSIERE, P. & MIMEUR, J. (1925): Les Pyrales due cotonnier (Sylepta derogata F. et. Glyphodes indica Saund. in Afrique Occidentale Française Agron. Colon no. 20: 225-268.